

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/836,786	04/17/2001	Akira Hara	2000-4007US2	6779
7590 11/06/2003			EXAMINER	
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.			EICKHOLT, EUGENE H	
345 Park Avenue New York, NY 10154-0053			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
now ronk, nr	1010 / 0000		2854	
•			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

√ 10	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summany	09/836,786	HARA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eugene H Eickholt	2854 <u>UU</u>	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 S	September 2003 .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application	•		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-29 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ .Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accep	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa r	niner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	bly to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	* *		
Attachment(s)			
1) , Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5	5) Notice of Informal R	Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/836,786

Art Unit: 2854

The drawings are objected to because of the matters noted on attached PTO -948. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The restriction requirement is revised in view of the traversal and finding of allowable subject matter as follows. Claims 1-21 are being examined. Claims 22-29 are still non-elected with traverse. The traverse fails to show error that the non-elected invention of claims 22-29 are not distinct and independent. Claims 22-29 stand withdrawn as directed to a invention nonelected with traverse in paper no. 8. The restriction requirement is made FINAL.

The following claims are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(a):

Claim 1, line 11 needs "take up" to be changed to "a first take up position" to give antecedent to line 16 "first position". In line 12, the hyphen after fabric needs to be canceled.

Claim 2, line 2 needs "said" ahead of "shaft".

Claim 5 needs "Paid" changed to "said".

Claim 7 needs the awkward phrase "has such a shape in cross-section that a circular is partially cut out" to be changed to "has a circular cross section "that is partially cut out"...etc.

Claim 9, line 2 needs "a" changed to "said".

Application/Control Number: 09/836,786

Art Unit: 2854

Claim 10 needs the period canceled in line 3 and "Take-up" changed to "take-up". Line 17 needs the comma canceled. No antecedent basis is present for "said groove" in line 19. In line 20, "said" needs to be added ahead of "bar".

In line 3, "a" needs to be added ahead of the second occurrence of "cleaning".

Claim 11 has no antecedent basis for "members" in line 2. Also "are" needs to be changed to "is".

Claim 12 likewise has no antecedent basis for "members".

Claim 15 needs "a" ahead of the second occurrence of "cleaning" in line 2.

In line 13, "boon" needs to be changed to "been". In line 14, the period after "from" needs to be canceled. The last line needs to be reworded" taken up by said take-up shaft member".

Claim 18, at lines 17 and 18 "bar members" should be "bar member segments".

Claim 19, lines 2 and 3 need "bar members" changed to "bar member segments";

Claim 20, line 2, "bar members" needs to be changed to" bar member segments". In line 3, "segment" needs to be added after "member".

Claim 21 has no antecedent basis for "said portion to be engaged" or "said engagement portion" as the term engaging is not claimed in claim 20.

35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first

Art Unit: 2854

paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: at

page 34, line "cramp" should be "clamp".

Claims 3-6, 8, 13-14 and 16-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

The above noted defects of their base claims must also be cured.

Claims 22-29 need to be canceled or the traversal petitioners with applicant's next

response.

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

As above outlined.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte

Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire TWO MONTHS

from the mailing date of this letter.

EUGENE H. EICKHOLT

PRIMARY EXAMINER